

Anson, Robie

From: Pfeifer, David
Sent: Wednesday, September 6, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Anson, Robie
Subject: FW: Excellent Tier, impairments, permits
Attachments: DRAFT3 tableExc-Permits_8-17-2017.pdf; DRAFT3 tableExc-Permits-Temp_8-30-2017.pdf; DRAFT DesUsesRuleLanguageExcerpt9-1-2017.pdf

Importance: High

It never rains, but it pours. We have a call today at 3 (not sure you can attend), but your input on these is probably necessary (I know – Oconomowoc, Wild Rice, Selenium, Chilton, Brillion, Harvey, Irma...)

David Pfeifer
Acting Chief, Water Quality Branch
USEPA, Region 5

312-353-9024

From: Minahan, Kristi L - DNR [mailto:Kristi.Minahan@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 01, 2017 4:00 PM
To: Yang, Sarah P - DNR <Sarah.Yang@wisconsin.gov>; Beranek, Ashley E - DNR <Ashley.Beranek@wisconsin.gov>; Shupryt, Michael P - DNR <Michael.Shupryt@wisconsin.gov>; Pfeifer, David <pfeifer.david@epa.gov>; Keclik, Donna <keclik.donna@epa.gov>; Hammer, Edward <hammer.edward@epa.gov>; Hemken, Meghan <Hemken.Meghan@epa.gov>; Knutson, Jason R - DNR <Jason.Knutson@wisconsin.gov>
Subject: Excellent Tier, impairments, permits

Here are a few materials for you to look over before the meeting; we'll walk through them.

- The two docs with tables are for the discussion about what happens to permits if a waterbody drops from Excellent to below Excellent. One table is for phosphorus permits, one for thermal. The P one is probably of more interest. This tries to show how some components of the P permit are based on what the actual criterion is and whether or not it's exceeded, while other components are based on whether the waterbody is listed as impaired. As mentioned in an earlier email, we will discuss the question of whether, if the criterion is met but a stressor ID study says P is the problem, we have to list it as impaired for P or not until an SSC is done.
- The third doc is for a separate topic that I'd also like to cover: Dave & Ed you'd said you also wanted to revisit whether our streamlined designated use process met EPA needs. I've attached the draft language for that so please take a look & let us know whether that covers the needs you raised previously (for instance getting the state's final determination, public participation process, etc). It looks like a lot of text but there are only a couple shorter areas I actually want you to read; the rest is for background if you need to reference if you need it. See the top of the doc for the portions to focus on. Donna you do not need to review this or stay for this portion.

Thx!
Kristi

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Kristi Minahan

Water Quality Standards Specialist – Bureau of Water Quality
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921

Phone: (608) 266-7055

kristi.minahan@wisconsin.gov



dnr.wi.gov

